arXiv:1304.2668v1 [math.GR] 9 Apr 2013

Andrews-Curtis and Nielsen equivalence relations on some infinite groups

Aglaia Myropolska*

Abstract

The Andrews-Curtis conjecture asserts that, for a free group F_n of rank n and a free basis $(x_1, ..., x_n)$, any normally generating tuple $(y_1, ..., y_n)$ is Andrews-Curtis equivalent to $(x_1, ..., x_n)$. This equivalence corresponds to the actions of $\operatorname{Aut} F_n$ and of F_n on normally generating n-tuples. The equivalence corresponding to the action of $\operatorname{Aut} F_n$ on generating n-tuples is called Nielsen equivalence. The conjecture for arbitrary finitely generated group has its own importance to analyse potential counter-examples to the original conjecture. We study the Andrews-Curtis and Nielsen equivalence in the class of finitely generated groups for which every maximal subgroup is normal, including nilpotent groups and Grigorchuk groups.

1 Introduction

The famous Andrews-Curtis conjecture [3, 23] can be stated as follows:

Conjecture 1.1 (The Andrews-Curtis conjecture)**.** *Let F_n be a free group of rank $n \geq 2$. If $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ is a free basis and $\{r_1, ..., r_n\}$ is a normally generating set of F_n, then $(r_1, ..., r_n)$ and $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ are Andrews-Curtis-equivalent.*

Let us give some background related to this conjecture. Let G be a finitely generated group. *The rank* $\operatorname{rank}(G)$ of a group G is the minimal number of generators of G. The following transformations of the set $G^n, n \geq 1$, are called *elementary Nielsen moves*:

$$R_{ij}^{\pm}(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_j, ..., x_n) = (x_1, ..., x_i x_j^{\pm 1}, ..., x_j, ..., x_n),$$
$$L_{ij}^{\pm}(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_j, ..., x_n) = (x_1, ..., x_j^{\pm 1} x_i, ..., x_j, ..., x_n),$$
$$I_j(x_1, ..., x_j, ..., x_n) = (x_1, ..., x_j^{-1}, ..., x_n),$$

---

*The author acknowledges the support of the Swiss NSF.

where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$. Elementary Nielsen moves transform generating sets of G into generating sets. Two generating sets are called *Nielsen equivalent* if one is obtained from the other by a finite chain of elementary Nielsen moves.

Elementary Nielsen moves together with the transformations

$$AC_{i,s}(x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_n) = (x_1, ..., x_i^s, ..., x_n)$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $s \in S \cup S^{-1} \subset G$, S is a fixed subset of G, form the set of *elementary Andrews-Curtis moves relative to* S, or shortly AC$_S$-moves. Elementary AC$_S$-moves transform *normally generating sets* (sets which generate G as a normal subgroup) into normally generating sets. Two normally generating sets are called *Andrews-Curtis - equivalent relative to* S (AC$_S$-equivalent) if one is obtained from the other by a finite chain of elementary AC$_S$-moves. In the case when $S = G$, we refer to AC-moves.

As in [21], the *weight* $w(G)$ of a group G is the minimal number of normal generators of G.

Given an integer $n \geq w(G)$ and a subset $S \subset G$, the *Andrews-Curtis graph* (AC-graph) $\Delta_{n,S}(G)$ of the group G is defined as follows:

- the set of vertices consists of normally generating n-tuples, i.e.

$$V_{\Delta_{n,S}}(G) = \{(g_1, ..., g_n) \in G^n \mid \ll g_1, ..., g_n \gg = G\};$$

- two vertices are connected by an edge if one of them is obtained from the other by an elementary AC_S-move.

If $S = G$ we simplify the notation to $\Delta_n(G)$. Clearly if S generates G, the graph $\Delta_{n,S}(G)$ is connected if and only if the graph $\Delta_n(G)$ is connected. The graph $\Delta_{n,S}(G)$ is a regular graph which admits loops and multiple edges.

The Andrews-Curtis conjecture can be reformulated in terms of graphs:

Conjecture 1.2 (The Andrews-Curtis conjecture)**.** *For a free group F_n of rank $n \geq 2$, the Andrews-Curtis graph $\Delta_n(F_n)$ is connected.*

Let G be a finitely generated group and $n \geq \operatorname{rank}(G)$. The set of n-tuples G^n can be identified with the set of homomorphisms from F_n to G and, therefore, the set of generating n-tuples can be identified with the set of epimorphisms $\operatorname{Epi}(F_n, G)$. Hence there are natural actions of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut} F_n$ on both G^n and $\operatorname{Epi}(F_n, G)$, by precomposition. Elementary Nielsen moves can be seen as elements of $\operatorname{Aut} F_n$; moreover, by a result of Nielsen (see [24], Chap. I, Prop. 4.1), they generate $\operatorname{Aut} F_n$. Therefore the orbits of the action of $\operatorname{Aut} F_n$ on $\operatorname{Epi}(F_n, G)$ are the *Nielsen equivalence classes.* Transitivity of this action has been studied in different contexts, see [12, 23, 30].

We define the *Nielsen graph*[‡] $\Gamma_n(G)$, $n \geq \operatorname{rank}(G)$ as follows:

- the set of vertices consists of generating n-tuples, i.e.

$$V_{\Gamma_n}(G) = \{(g_1, ..., g_n) \in G^n \mid \langle g_1, ..., g_n \rangle = G\};$$

- two vertices are connected by an edge if one of them is obtained from the other by an elementary Nielsen move.

Observe that the graph $\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected if and only if the action of $\operatorname{Aut} F_n$ on $\operatorname{Epi}(F_n, G)$ is transitive.

As an example, let us consider a finitely generated abelian group G. Then a normal generating set is just a generating set and elementary Andrews-Curtis moves coincide with elementary Nielsen moves. Therefore the two graphs $\Delta_n(G)$ and $\Gamma_n(G)$ coincide. Moreover, we have the following:

Theorem 1.1 ([28, 8, 29])**.** *Let G be a finitely generated abelian group given as*

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times ... \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_r} \times \mathbb{Z}^s$$

where $r, s \geq 0$, $m_1, ..., m_r \geq 2$ and $m_1 | m_2 | ... | m_r$. Then $rank(G) = r + s$ and

- *$\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected for $n \geq r + s + 1$;*
- *if $r = 0$, i.e., $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^s$, then $\Gamma_s(G)$ is connected;*
- *if $r \neq 0$ then $\Gamma_{r+s}(G)$ has $\phi(m_1)$ connected components.*

Along with this result there are several partial results about the connectedness of $\Gamma_n(G)$ for particular families of groups. For instance, $\Gamma_{n+1}(G)$ is connected when G is a finitely generated nilpotent group of rank n [11]. For further known results we refer the reader to Section 4 on Nielsen equivalence, and to the references therein.

Let us get back to the Andrews-Curtis conjecture, which is still open. There have been several attempts to construct counter-examples. See Section 3 on Andrews-Curtis equivalence for more on that. A possible way to disprove the conjecture would be to find two normally generating systems of F_n such that their images in some finitely generated group are not Andrews-Curtis- equivalent. This motivates to analyse the connected components of the Andrews-Curtis graph of finitely generated groups. One of the few positive results in this direction is that $\Delta_n(G)$ is connected when G is a free soluble group of rank n [26], and its proof can be adapted for free nilpotent groups.

[‡] Also called the extended Product Replacement graph.

Borovik, Lubotzky and Myasnikov [6] studied connected components of $\Delta_n(G)$ for finite groups. In particular, they proved the following:

Theorem 1.2 ([6])**.** *Let G be a finite group and $n \geq \max\{w(G), 2\}$. Then two normally generating tuples U, V are AC-equivalent if and only if they are AC-equivalent in the abelianization $\mathrm{Ab}(G) = G/[G, G]$. In other words, the connected components of the AC-graph $\Delta_n(G)$ are precisely the preimages of the connected components of the AC-graph $\Delta_n(\mathrm{Ab}(G))$.*

Furthermore, they raised the question whether such a criterion holds for the Grigorchuk group [14, 16]: this is a 3-generated residually finite 2-group which is just-infinite; hence Theorem 1.2 holds in every proper quotient of the group. It equally makes sense to ask the question for other just-infinite groups. We will now discuss a class of groups which includes the Grigorchuk group (see e.g. [19], Chap. VIII for more on the Grigorchuk group).

In this paper we focus on the class $\mathfrak{C}$ *of finitely generated groups for which every maximal subgroup is normal.*

A finite group is in $\mathfrak{C}$ if and only if it is nilpotent [33, 5.2.4]. Moreover $\mathfrak{C}$ contains finitely generated nilpotent groups, because any maximal subgroup of a nilpotent group is normal [7, I.70]. The class $\mathfrak{C}$ also contains the family of Grigorchuk groups $(G_\omega)_{w\in\Omega}$ [14, 15] indexed by sequence in $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, and Gupta-Sidki p-groups [31, 32].

We will now state our first result:

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be in $\mathfrak{C}$ and $n \geq w(G)$. Then two normally generating n-tuples U, V are AC-equivalent if and only if they are AC-equivalent in the abelianization $\mathrm{Ab}(G) = G/[G, G]$. In other words, the connected components of the AC-graph $\Delta_n(G)$ are precisely the preimages of the connected components of the AC-graph $\Delta_n(\mathrm{Ab}(G))$.*

Together with Theorem 1.1 our result describes the connected components of the Andrews-Curtis graph for groups in $\mathfrak{C}$.

Corollary 1.1. *For the Grigorchuk group G the Andrews-Curtis graph $\Delta_n(G)$, $n \geq 3$, is connected.*

It is not hard to see that, for groups in $\mathfrak{C}$, the set of vertices of $\Delta_n(G)$ and $\Gamma_n(G)$ coincide (see Proposition 2.1). Therefore connectedness of $\Gamma_n(G)$

implies connectedness of $\Delta_n(G)$. Our other results are devoted to connectedness of $\Gamma_n(G)$. We have already mentioned that $\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected for nilpotent groups when $n \geq \operatorname{rank}(G) + 1$. Here we study connectedness of $\Gamma_n(G)$ for nilpotent groups when $n = \operatorname{rank}(G)$. For free nilpotent groups, as a direct corollary of the result by Andreadakis [2] and Bachmuth [4], we obtain the following:

Theorem 1.4 (Section 4.2)**.** *Let G be the free nilpotent group of rank $n \geq 2$ and nilpotency class c. Then $\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected if and only if $c = 1$ or 2.*

Furthermore, let $\mathcal{H}_k$ be the discrete Heisenberg group of rank $2k$ (Section 4.2).

Theorem 1.5 (Section 4.2)**.** *The graph $\Gamma_n(\mathcal{H}_k)$ is connected when $n \geq 2k$.*

In the end we prove the connectedness of $\Gamma_n(G)$ for p-groups in $\mathfrak{C}$ with $n \geq \operatorname{rank}(G) + 1$:

Theorem 1.6 (Section 4.1)**.** *Let G be a p-group in $\mathfrak{C}$. Then $\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected when $n \geq \operatorname{rank}(G) + 1$.*

We remind that the Gupta-Sidki p-group, $p \geq 3$, is 2-generated.

Corollary 1.2. *$\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected for the Grigorchuk group and the Gupta-Sidki group, when $n \geq 4$ and $n \geq 3$ respectively.*

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be the Gupta-Sidki p-group, $p \geq 3$. Then $\Gamma_2(G)$ has at least $p - 1$ connected components.*

Question 1. *Is $\Gamma_3(G)$ connected for the Grigorchuk group?*

2 Class $\mathfrak{C}$

The class $\mathfrak{C}$ is a class of finitely generated groups for which every maximal subgroup is normal.

We recall that the *Frattini subgroup* $\Phi(G)$ of a group G is the intersection of all maximal subgroups of G, and $\Phi(G) = G$ if G does not have maximal subgroups.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a finitely generated group. Then G is in $\mathfrak{C}$ if and only if all normally generating sets are generating sets. Moreover, if G is in $\mathfrak{C}$, then $[G, G] \leq \Phi(G)$.*

Proof. Let G be in $\mathfrak{C}$ and assume by contradiction that there is a normally generating set S which is not a generatng set. Since G is finitely generated, any proper subgroup is contained in some proper maximal subgroup [27], therefore $\langle S\rangle \leq M < G$ for some proper maximal subgroup M . Then $\ll S \gg = G \leq M^G = M < G$. It is a contradiction.

Conversely, we will prove that if all normally generating sets are generating sets then all maximal subgroups are normal. We prove the equivalent statement: if there is a maximal subgroup M which is not normal, then there exists a normally generating set which is not a generating set. We take as a normally generating set $S = M$. Then $\ll S \gg = G$ since M is maximal and $\langle S\rangle \neq G$ since M is proper.

Futhermore, if G is in $\mathfrak{C}$ then for any maximal subgroup M the quotient G/M is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ by the correspondence theorem, in particular, G/M is abelian. Therefore $[G, G] \leq M$ and we conclude that $[G, G] \leq \Phi(G)$. □

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be in $\mathfrak{C}$ and $n \geq 1$. Then the natural maps*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_n &: Epi(F_n, G) \to Epi(F_n, G/[G, G]),\\ \phi_n &: Epi(F_n, G) \to Epi(F_n, G/\Phi(G))\end{aligned}$$

are surjective.

Proof. We will now prove the case of π_n. Consider the projection $\pi : G \to G/[G, G]$.

If $n < \mathrm{rank}(G/[G, G])$, the sets $\mathrm{Epi}(F_n, G/[G, G])$ and $\mathrm{Epi}(F_n, G)$ are empty and there is nothing to prove. Assume now that $n \geq \mathrm{rank}(G/[G, G])$. Let $s_1, ..., s_n \in G$ such that $\pi(s_1), ..., \pi(s_n)$ generate $G/[G, G]$. Suppose $\langle s_1, ..., s_n\rangle \leq M$ for some maximal subgroup M of G; we will obtain a contradiction. By Proposition 2.1, $[G, G] \leq M$, and we have $M/[G, G] = \pi(M) = \pi(G) = G/[G, G]$. Hence $\{e\} = \frac{G/[G,G]}{M/[G,G]} \cong G/M$. This is a contradiction since M is a proper subgroup of G. The proof for ϕ_n repeats the one above with $[G, G]$ replaced by $\Phi(G)$. □

3 Andrews-Curtis equivalence

There are doubts as to whether the Andrews-Curtis conjecture is true. A possible way to disprove it would be to find two normally generating systems of F_n such that their images are not Andrews-Curtis-equivalent in some finitely generated group.

Akbulut and Kirby [1] suggest a series of potential counter-examples for $F_2 = \langle x, y \rangle$, i.e., normally generating tuples which are not known to be AC-equivalent to (x, y):

$$(u, v_l) = (xyxy^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}, x^l y^{-(l+1)}),\ l \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

It was suggested in [5] that one could confirm one of these potential counter-examples by showing that for some homomorphism $\phi : F_2 \to G$ to a finite group G, the images of the pairs (1) are not Andews-Curtis-equivalent.

Notice that in an abelian group of rank 2:

$$(xyxy^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}, x^l y^{-(l+1)}) \sim_{AC} (x, y)$$

so for every homomorphism $\phi : F_2 \to A$ into an abelian group A, the images of the pairs (1) are AC-equivalent.

In view of the latter, [6] considered the class of groups with the following property: for any $n \geq \max\{w(G), 2\}$, two normally generating n-tuples U, V are AC-equivalent in G if and only if their images are AC-equivalent in the abelianization $\mathrm{Ab}(G)$. Therefore groups from this class will not confirm the potential counter-examples (1). Borovik, Lubotzky and Myasnikov [6] proved that all finite groups belong to this class. They also ask whether it is true for the Grigorchuk group. Our Theorem 1.3 answers their question positively.

We will now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.3.
First, we present a few well-known properties of the Frattini subgroup:

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a group.*

1. *[33, 5.2.12] Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ is equal to the set of non-generators of G, i.e., if $g \in \Phi(G)$ and $\langle g, X \rangle = G$ then $\langle X \rangle = G$.*
2. *[11] Let $G = \langle x_1, ..., x_n \rangle$ and $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n \in \Phi(G)$. Then*

$$\langle x_1\varphi_1, ..., x_n\varphi_n \rangle = G.$$

Proof of the Theorem 1.3. Let G be in $\mathfrak{C}$. Then by Proposition 2.1 normally generating sets coincide with generating sets of G and therefore $\mathrm{rank}(G) = w(G)$.

Consider two generating tuples U, V in G which are AC-equivalent. Then for any normal subgroup $N \lhd G$ their images in G/N are AC-equivalent. In particular, it is true for $N = [G, G]$.

Assume now that the images of U, V in $\mathrm{Ab}(G)$ are AC-equivalent. Let us first consider the case $n = \mathrm{rank}(G)$ and $\mathrm{rank}(G) = 2$. Proving that $U = (u_1, u_2), V = (x, y)$ are AC-equivalent is equivalent to proving that (x, y) and $(x\varphi_1, y\varphi_2)$ are AC-equivalent for $\forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [G, G]$.

Denote by $\mathrm{ord}_G(g)$ the order of g in G. One computes that

$$\{x^{n_1}y^{n_2} | n_1 \in (-\mathrm{ord}_G(x), \mathrm{ord}_G(x)), n_2 \in (-\mathrm{ord}_G(y), \mathrm{ord}_G(y))\}$$

is a right coset representative system for G mod $[G, G]$. Using the Reidemeister-Schreier rewriting process we find a set S of generators for $[G, G]$:

$$S = \{x^{n_1}y^{n_2}x(x^{n_1+1}y^{n_2})^{-1}, n_1 \in (-\mathrm{ord}_G(x), \mathrm{ord}_G(x)), n_2 \in \\ (-\mathrm{ord}_G(y), \mathrm{ord}_G(y))\}.$$

We will proceed by induction on the length of $\varphi_1 \in [G, G]$ in generators of S to prove that (x, y) and $(x\varphi_1, y)$ are AC-equivalent. Let $\varphi_1 = s\varphi_1'$ with $s \in S$ and $\varphi_1' \in [G, G]$ such that $l_S(\varphi_1') < l_S(\varphi_1)$. Then

$$\begin{gathered}(x\varphi_1, y) = (xs\varphi_1', y) = (x \cdot x^{n_1}y^{n_2}xy^{-n_2}x^{-n_1-1}\varphi_1', y) \sim_{I_2,AC} \\ (x \cdot x^{n_1}y^{n_2}xy^{-n_2}x^{-n_1-1}\varphi_1', x^{n_1+1}y^{-1}x^{-n_1-1}) \sim_{L_{12}\ |n_1|+1 \text{ times}} \\ (x^{n_1+2}y^{-n_2}x^{-n_1-1}\varphi_1', x^{n_1+1}y^{-1}x^{-n_1-1}) \sim_{AC,I_2} (x^{n_1+2}y^{-n_2}x^{-n_1-1}\varphi_1', y) \sim_{AC} \\ (x^{n_1+2}y^{-n_2}x^{-n_1-1}\varphi_1', x^{n_1+2}yx^{-n_1-2}) \sim_{L_{12}\ |n_2| \text{ times}} (x\varphi_1', x^{n_1+2}yx^{-n_1-2}) \sim_{AC} \\ (x\varphi_1', y).\end{gathered}$$

By induction we conclude that $(x\varphi_1, y)$ and (x, y) are AC-equivalent.

Now let $\hat{x} = x\varphi_1$ and $G = \langle \hat{x}, y \rangle$. By a similar procedure using the Reidemeister-Schreier rewriting process we find $[G, G] = \langle S \rangle$ where

$$S = \{\hat{x}^{n_1}y^{n_2}\hat{x}(\hat{x}^{n_1+1}y^{n_2})^{-1}, n_1 \in (-\mathrm{ord}_G(\hat{x}), \mathrm{ord}_G(\hat{x})), n_2 \in (-\mathrm{ord}_G(y), \mathrm{ord}_G(y))\}.$$

Let $\varphi_2 = s\varphi_2'$ with $s \in S$ and $\varphi_2' \in [G, G]$ such that $l_S(\varphi_2') < l_S(\varphi_2)$. Then

$$\begin{gathered}(\hat{x}, y\varphi_2) = (\hat{x}, y\hat{x}^{n_1}y^{n_2}\hat{x}(\hat{x}^{n_1+1}y^{n_2})^{-1}\varphi_2') \sim_{I_1,AC} \\ (y\hat{x}^{n_1}y^{n_2}\hat{x}^{-1}y^{-n_2}\hat{x}^{-n_1}y^{-1}, y\hat{x}^{n_1}y^{n_2}\hat{x}(\hat{x}^{n_1+1}y^{n_2})^{-1}\varphi_2') \sim_{L_{21},AC,I_1} \\ (\hat{x}, y\hat{x}^{-1}\varphi_2') \sim_{AC} (y\hat{x}y^{-1}, y\hat{x}^{-1}\varphi_2') \sim_{L_{21},AC} (\hat{x}, y\varphi_2').\end{gathered}$$

By induction $(\hat{x}, y)$ and $(\hat{x}, y\varphi_2)$ are AC-equivalent. We conclude that (x, y) and $(x\varphi_1, y\varphi_2)$ are AC-equivalent.

Let $n = \mathrm{rank}(G) \geq 3$ and $G = \langle x_1, ..., x_n \rangle$. Then $[G, G] = \langle S \rangle$, with $S = \{x_1^{m_1}...x_n^{m_n}x_l(x_1^{m_1}...x_l^{m_l+1}...x_n^{m_n})^{-1}, m_i \in (-\mathrm{ord}_G(x_i), \mathrm{ord}_G(x_i)),$
$m_n \neq 0, 1 \leq l < n\}$. Then the proof above can be repeated with more similar cases to consider.

Finally, assume $n > \text{rank}(G)$, and for simplicity $\text{rank}(G) = 2$. Let us fix a system of generators $\{x, y\}$ of G.

Since $G/[G,G]$ is abelian and $n > \text{rank}(G/[G,G])$, the $\Delta_n(G/[G,G]) = \Gamma_n(G/[G,G])$ is connected by Theorem 1.1. We need to prove that $\Delta_n(G)$ is connected. This is equivalent to proving that $(x\varphi_1, y\varphi_2, \varphi_3, ..., \varphi_n) \sim_{AC} (x, y, 1, ..., 1), \forall \varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n \in [G,G]$. We use that $(x\varphi_1, y\varphi_2) \sim_{AC} (x, y)\ \forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [G,G]$, and conclude with the following: $(x\varphi_1, y\varphi_2, \varphi_3, ..., \varphi_n) \sim_{AC} (x, y, \varphi_3, ..., \varphi_n) \sim_{AC} (x, y, \varphi_3 \cdot (\varphi_3)^{-1}, ..., \varphi_n \cdot (\varphi_n)^{-1}) = (x, y, 1, ..., 1)$.

If $n > \text{rank}(G) > 2$ then one concludes that $\Delta_n(G)$ is connected with the same type of arguments. $\square$

There is a different proof for the fact that if $\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle = G$ then $\forall c \in [G,G]$: $(x_1, ..., x_n) \sim_{AC} (x_1 c, x_2, ..., x_n)$ (see Property 2, [26]).

Question 2. *Is it true that, if $\Delta_n(G)$ is connected for some $n \geq w(G)$, then $\Delta_m(G)$ is connected for every $m > n$?*

The following proposition gives a partial answer to Question 2:

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be in $\mathfrak{C}$ and suppose $\Delta_n(G)$ is connected for some $n \geq w(G)$. Then $\Delta_k(G)$ is connected for every $k \geq n+1$.*

Proof. Let $G = \ll x_1, ..., x_n \gg$. Since G is in $\mathfrak{C}$ we have $\langle x_1, ..., x_n\rangle = G$. By assumption $\Delta_n(G)$ is connected for some $n \geq w(G)$. It is sufficient to prove the statement for $k = n+1$. We need to show that for each normally generating $(n+1)$-tuple $(g_1, ..., g_{n+1})$ there is a sequence of Andrews-Curtis moves which transforms $(g_1, ..., g_{n+1})$ into $(x_1, ..., x_n, 1)$. Consider a natural projection $\pi : G \to \text{Ab}(G)$. Notice that $n + 1 \geq \text{rank}(\text{Ab}(G)) + 1$ and therefore $\Delta_{n+1}(\text{Ab}(G))$ is connected. Hence $(\overline{g}_1, ..., \overline{g}_{n+1}) \sim_{AC} (\overline{x}_1, ..., \overline{x}_n, \overline{1})$ in $\text{Ab}(G)$, and consequently $(g_1, ..., g_{+1}) \sim_{AC} (x_1c_1, ..., x_nc_n, c_{n+1})$ for some $c_1, ..., c_{n+1} \in [G,G]$. Since G is in $\mathfrak{C}$ we have that $[G,G] \leq \Phi(G)$ and therefore $\langle x_1c_1, ..., x_nc_n\rangle = G$. Hence

$$(x_1c_1, ..., x_nc_n, c_{n+1}) \sim_{AC} (x_1c_1, ..., x_nc_n, c_{n+1} \cdot c_{n+1}^{-1}) = (x_1c_1, ..., x_nc_n, 1) \sim_{AC} (x_1c_1, ..., x_nc_n, c_n) \sim_{AC} (x_1, x_2c_2 ..., x_nc_n, 1) \sim_{AC} ... \sim_{AC} (x_1, ..., x_n, 1). \square$$

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Since $G/[G,G] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ (see, for example, [19], VIII.22), the graph $\Delta_3(G/[G,G]) = \Delta_3((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3) = \Gamma_3((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3)$ is connected for $n \geq 3$ by Theorem 1.1. To obtain connectedness of $\Delta_n(G)$, $n \geq 3$, apply Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.1. $\square$

4 Nielsen equivalence

The question about transitivity of the action of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ on $\mathrm{Epi}(F_n, G)$ was raised in 1951 by B.H. Neumann and H. Neumann [28] and has been studied in different contexts, see [12, 23, 30]. If G is a fundamental group of a closed surface Σ then the action of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ is transitive already for $n = \mathrm{rank}(G)$ [22, 34]. There are examples of finitely generated groups when it is not. For instance, for finitely generated abelian groups (see Theorem 1.1) with $m_1 \geq 3$. But once $n \geq \mathrm{rank}(G) + 1$ transitivity is easier to get. It holds for finitely generated nilpotent groups [11], for finite solvable groups [10], as well as for $PSL(2, q)$ for $n \geq 4$ and q a prime power [13].

Proposition 4.1. *[11] Let G be a finitely generated group and $n \geq \mathrm{rank}(G)+1$. If $\Gamma_n(G/\Phi(G))$ is connected then $\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{rank}(G) = d$ and also let us fix a generating system of $G = \langle x_1, ..., x_d\rangle$. Assume that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_n(G/\Phi(G))$ is connected. Then any generating system $(g_1, ..., g_n)$ of G is Nielsen equivalent to $(x_1\varphi_1, ..., x_d\varphi_d, \varphi_{d+1}, ..., \varphi_n)$ for some $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_n \in \Phi(G)$. Since $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_d \in \Phi(G)$ then $\langle x_1\varphi_1, ..., x_d\varphi_d\rangle = G$ and we conclude the proof with the following:

$$(g_1, ..., g_n) \sim (x_1\varphi_1, ..., x_d\varphi_d, \varphi_{d+1}, ..., \varphi_n) \sim (x_1\varphi_1, ..., x_d\varphi_d, 1, ..., 1) \sim$$
$$(x_1\varphi_1, ..., x_d\varphi_d, \varphi_1, 1..., 1) \sim (x_1, x_2\varphi_2..., x_d\varphi_d, 1..., 1) \sim$$
$$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_d, 1..., 1).\square$$

In fact, for groups in the class $\mathfrak{C}$ the converse is also true by Proposition 2.2:

Corollary 4.1. *Let G be in $\mathfrak{C}$ and $n \geq \mathrm{rank}(G)+1$. Then $\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected if and only if $\Gamma_n(G/\Phi(G))$ is connected.*

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be in $\mathfrak{C}$ and suppose $\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected for some $n \geq \mathrm{rank}(G)$. Then $\Gamma_k(G)$ is connected for all $k \geq n + 1$.*

Proof. Since G is in $\mathfrak{C}$ then $[G, G] \leq \Phi(G)$. Use that $G/\Phi(G)$ is abelian and apply Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 4.1. $\square$

4.1 Nielsen equivalence for p-groups in class $\mathfrak{C}$

In this chapter we will prove Theorem 1.6. In view of the Corollary 4.1 it is desirable to analyze the quotient of the group by its Frattini subgroup.

Proposition 4.2. *Let G be a finitely generated p-group from $\mathfrak{C}$. Then*

$$G/\Phi(G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{rank}(G)}.$$

Proof. First we prove that $\Phi(G)$ is of finite index in G and then show that $G/\Phi(G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\mathrm{rank}(G)}$.

Let M be a proper maximal subgroup of G. Then by assumption $M \triangleleft G$ and G/M is an abelian p-group, hence finite. Let us prove that $[G : M] = p$. Assume that $[G : M] \neq p$ and since G is a p-group then it must be $p^k, k \geq 2$. Then $g^{p^k} \in M$ for any $g \in G$. We choose $g \notin M$. There is $0 \leq r < k$ such that $g^{p^{r+1}} \in M$ and $g^{p^r} \notin M$. Consider the subgroup $M_1 = \langle g, M\rangle$. Obviously $M < M_1$ and $M_1 \neq G$. Indeed, assuming that $M_1 = G$ we get by the third theorem of isomorphism that $|\{e\}| = \frac{|G/M|}{|M_1/M|} = \frac{p^k}{p^r} = p^{k-r} \neq 1$. So $M < M_1 < G$ which contradicts the maximality of M. Hence G/M has order p.

Since G is finitely generated, there are only finitely many subgroups of a given index [18]. Hence there are finitely many maximal subgroups of G. We use that the intersection of finitely many subgroups of finite index is a subgroup of finite index [18] and conclude that the index of the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ is finite.

Since G is in $\mathfrak{C}$, $[G, G] \leq \Phi(G)$ by Proposition 2.1. Also for given $g \in G$ we have $g^p \in M$ for any maximal subgroup M and thus $g^p \in \Phi(G)$. Moreover $G/\Phi(G)$ is finite and we conclude that $G/\Phi(G)$ is an elementary abelian group. By the fundamental theorem of abelian groups $G/\Phi(G)$ is a direct product of nontrivial cyclic subgroups, each of which must have order p:

$$G/\Phi(G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\ell},$$

where $\ell \leq d = \mathrm{rank}(G)$. Suppose that $\ell < d$ and there is a generating ℓ-tuple $(s_1, ..., s_\ell)$ of $G/\Phi(G)$. By Proposition 2.2 $(s_1, ..., s_\ell)$ has preimage in $\mathrm{Epi}(F_\ell, G)$ therefore $\mathrm{rank}(G) < d$. We obtain a contradiction. □

Proof of the Theorem 1.6. Use Proposition 4.2, Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 4.1. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Since $G/\Phi(G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ [32], the graph $\Gamma_2(G/\Phi(G))$ has $p-1$ connected components by Theorem 1.1. If two generating tuples of G are Nielsen equivalent in G then their images in $G/\Phi(G)$ are also Nielsen equivalent. We deduce that there are at least $p-1 \geq 2$ connected components of $\Gamma_2(G)$. □

4.2 Nielsen equivalence of free nilpotent and Heisenberg groups

Let us recall the following definitions:

- A subgroup K of a group G is called *characteristic* if K is invariant by all automorphisms of G;
- Let $W_\mu(x_\lambda)$, $\mu = 1, 2, ...$, be a set of words in the symbols x_λ, $\lambda = 1, 2, ...$ Then the $\{W_\mu\}$-*verbal subgroup* $G(W_\mu)$ of a group G is the subgroup of G generated by all elements of the form $W_\mu(g_\lambda)$, where g_λ ranges over G.

Any verbal subgroup is characteristic, but converse is false.

Let F_n be the free group of rank $n \geq 2$ and let V be a verbal subgroup of F_n. Then, in particular, V is characteristic and the natural mapping $F_n \to F_n/V$ induces a homomorphism $\rho : \mathrm{Aut}(F_n) \to \mathrm{Aut}(F_n/V)$. Elements of the image of ρ are called *tame automorphisms* of F_n/V. Since V is a verbal subgroup, a map between two generating n-tuples of F_n/V can be extended to an automorphism of F_n/V (see [25], Theorem 1.1). Thereby the transitivity of the action of $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n$ on the set of generating n-tuples is reduced to the question whether all automorphisms of F_n/V are tame.

Recall that for a finitely generated nilpotent group G, $\Gamma_n(G)$ is connected for $n \geq \mathrm{rank}(G) + 1$ [11]. We will discuss $\Gamma_n(G)$, $n = \mathrm{rank}(G)$ for free nilpotent groups and Heisengroup groups.

Consider the lower central series of F_n: $F_n \rhd [F_n, F_n] \rhd [F_n, [F_n, F_n]]...$, every member of which is a verbal subgroup of F_n. Let $\gamma_c F_n$ be its c-th term. The group $F_n(c) = F_n/\gamma_{c+1}F_n$ is the free nilpotent group of rank n and class c.

Theorem 4.1 ([2, 4]). *Let $F_n(c)$ be a free nilpotent group of rank $n \geq 2$ and class c. Then*
- *all elements of* $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n(c)$ *are tame for $c = 1$ or $c = 2$;*
- *if $c \geq 3$ then there exist non-tame automorphisms in* $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n(c)$.

The Theorem 1.4 is a straighforward corollary from the Theorem 4.1. Moreover in the case $n = 2$, $c = 3$, the result of Andreadakis implies that there are infinitely many Nielsen equivalence classes (Proposition 4.3 below).

Proposition 4.3. *For the free nilpotent group $F_2(3)$ there are infinitely many Nielsen equivalence classes.*

Proof. Andreadakis [2] showed that for $F_2(3) = \langle x, y\rangle$, the central automorphism of $F_2(3)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} x & \to & x[y,x,x]^{\lambda_1}[y,x,y]^{\lambda_2} \\ y & \to & y[y,x,x]^{\mu_1}[y,x,y]^{\mu_2} \end{array} \right. \tag{2}$$

is tame if $\lambda_1 = \mu_2$ and $\lambda_2 = \mu_1 = 0$. We consider a non-tame automorphism of $F_2(3)$:

$$\alpha : \begin{cases} x & \rightarrow & x[y,x,x] \\ y & \rightarrow & y \end{cases}$$

and show that there is no $\sigma \in AutF_2$ such that $\alpha^i = \sigma\alpha^j$, for $i > j$. Or equivalently there is no $\sigma \in AutF_2$ such that $\alpha^k = \sigma$ for an arbitrary positive integer k. By criterion above the automorphism α^k is tame if it is trivial. Consider $\alpha^2(x) = x[y,x,x][y,x[y,x,x],x[y,x,x]] = x[y,x,x]^2$. More generally,

$$\alpha^k : \begin{cases} x & \rightarrow & x[y,x,x]^k \\ y & \rightarrow & y \end{cases}$$

Hence α^k is not trivial for any $k > 0$. $\Box$.

It is easy to see that there is just one Nielsen equivalence class if $c = 1$ not using Theorem 4.1. $F_n(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mathrm{Epi}(F_n, \mathbb{Z}^n) = GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover $\rho(\mathrm{Aut}\, F_n) = GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and the action of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is obviously transitive on itself. For $n = c = 2$ the nilpotent group $F_2(2)$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_1 = \langle x, y | [x,[x,y]], [y,[x,y]] \rangle$ [20].

Corollary 4.3. *For the first Heisenberg group* $\mathcal{H}_1$ *the graph* $\Gamma_2(\mathcal{H}_1)$ *is connected.*

This statement about the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_1$ can be generalised to higher dimensions. *The discrete Heisenberg group* $\mathcal{H}_k$, $k \geq 1$, is the group of integer matrices of the form

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{x} & z \\ 0 & I_k & \mathbf{y} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_k)$ a row vector of length k, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, ..., y_k)^T$ a column vector of length k, and I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix.

Proof of the Theorem 1.5.
We will prove that $N_{\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{H}_k)}(\mathcal{H}_k/\Phi(\mathcal{H}_k))$ is connected and deduce connectedness for $N_{\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{H}_k)}(\mathcal{H}_k)$. We refer to [11] for the case $n \geq \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{H}_k) + 1$.

Each element of $\mathcal{H}_k$ can be written as $(x_1, ..., x_k, y_1, ..., y_k, z)$. The identity element of $\mathcal{H}$ is $(0, 0, ..., 0)$ and

$$(x_1, ..., x_k, y_1, ..., y_k, z)^{-1} = (-x_1, ..., -x_k, -y_1, ..., -y_k, x_1y_1 + ... + x_ky_k - z).$$

The group multiplication is then given by the following rule:

$$(x_1, ..., x_k, y_1, ..., y_k, z)(x'_1, ..., x'_k, y'_1, ..., y'_k, z') =$$
$$(x_1 + x'_1, ..., x_k + x'_k, y_1 + y'_1, ..., y_k + y'_k, z + z' + x_1 y'_1 + ... + x_k y'_k).$$

Calculations show that $[\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_k] = Z(\mathcal{H}_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{Ab}(\mathcal{H}_k) = \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$.

Observe that $\mathcal{H}_k = \langle (1, 0, ..., 0, 0), (0, 1, ..., 0, 0), ..., (0, ..., 1, 0) \rangle$ and $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{H}_k) = 2k$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{H}_k \to \mathrm{Ab}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ be the natural epimorphism. Then more generally

$$\langle h_1, ..., h_{2k} \rangle = \mathcal{H}_k \text{ if and only if } \langle \pi(h_1), ..., \pi(h_{2k}) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^{2k}.$$

Indeed, if $\langle h_1, ..., h_{2k} \rangle = \mathcal{H}_k$ then clearly $\langle \pi(h_1), ..., \pi(h_{2k}) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$. The proof of the inverse implication goes as follows. Let $\langle \pi(h_1), ..., \pi(h_{2k}) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$. Then $(\pi(h_1), ..., \pi(h_{2k}))$ is Nielsen equivalent to $((\overline{1}, \overline{0}, ..., \overline{0}, \overline{0}), (\overline{0}, \overline{1}, ..., \overline{0}, \overline{0}), ..., (\overline{0}, ..., \overline{1}, \overline{0}))$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{2k}$. In other words, $(h_1, ..., h_{2k})$ is Nielsen equivalent to

$$((1, 0, ..., 0, m_1), (0, 1, ..., 0, m_2), ..., (0, ..., 1, m_{2k}).$$

The last step is to show that $\langle (1, 0, ..., 0, m_1), (0, 1, ..., 0, m_2), ..., (0, ..., 1, m_{2k}) \rangle = \mathcal{H}_k$. We calculate $[(1, 0, ..., 0, m_1), (0, ..., 1, ...0, m_{k+1})] = (0, ..., 0, 1)$. Moreover for all $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ letting $a = s_{2k+1} - s_1 m_1 - ... - s_{2k} m_{2k} - s_1 s_{k+1} - ... - s_k s_{2k}$ we have

$$(s_1, s_2, ..., s_{2k}, s_{2k+1}) =$$
$$[(1, 0, ..., 0, m_1), (0, ..., 1, ...0, m_{k+1})]^a \cdot (1, 0, ..., 0, m_1)^{s_1} \cdot ... \cdot (0, ..., 0, 1, m_{2k})^{s_{2k}}.$$

We get then that $(h_1, ..., h_{2k})$ is Nielsen equivalent to a generating system of $\mathcal{H}_k$ and conclude that $(h_1, ..., h_{2k})$ is also a generating system.

Since $\mathcal{H}_k$ is nilpotent group we have $[\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_k] \leq \Phi(\mathcal{H}_k)$ [11]. Let us show that $\Phi(\mathcal{H}_k) \leq [\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_k]$ or, equivalently, if $g \notin [\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_k]$ then $g \notin \Phi(\mathcal{H}_k)$. Assume $g \notin [\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_k]$ then $g^{ab} \neq 0$. We deduce that $\exists g'_2, ..., g'_{2k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$ such that $\langle g^{ab}, g'_2, ..., g'_{2k} \rangle = \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$. Therefore $g \notin \Phi(\mathcal{H}_k)$ and $[\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_k] = \Phi(\mathcal{H}_k)$.

Notice that $\mathrm{Aut}\, F_{2k}$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{H}_k / \Phi(\mathcal{H}_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2k}$. Therefore any generating $2k$-tuple of $\mathcal{H}_k$ is Nielsen equivalent to

$$((1, 0, ..., 0, m_1), (0, 1, ..., 0, m_2), ..., (0, ..., 1, m_{2k}))$$

for some integers $m_1, ..., m_{2k}$. To obtain connectedness of $N_{2k}(\mathcal{H}_k)$ it is sufficient to prove that there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}\, F_{2k}$ which transforms

$$((1, 0, ..., 0, 0), (0, 1, ..., 0, 0), ..., (0, ..., 1, 0))$$

into

$$((1, 0, ..., 0, m_1), (0, 1, ..., 0, m_2), ..., (0, ..., 1, m_{2k}))$$

for any $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

One calculates

$$(L_{1,k+1}^{-1}R_{1,k+1})^{m_1}((1,0,...,0,0),(0,1,...,0,0),...,(0,...,1,0)) = \\ ((1,0,...,0,m_1),(0,1,...,0,0),...,(0,...,1,0)).$$

More generally $\forall i : 1 \leq i \leq k$

$$(L_{i,k+i}^{-1}R_{i,k+i})^{m_i}(0,...,1,...,0,0) = (0,...,1,...,0,m_i)$$

and $\forall k : k+1 \leq i \leq 2k$

$$(L_{i,i-k}R_{i,i-k}^{-1})^{m_i}(0,...,1,...,0,0) = (0,...,1,...,0,m_i). \ \square$$

Acknowledgements
It is a pleasure to thank my advisor Tatiana Smirnova-Nagnibeda and Pierre de la Harpe for numerous discussions, valuable questions and beneficial comments, and also for revising the introduction. I am also grateful to Tsachik Gelander and Rostislav Grigorchuk for discussions, Alexei Myasnikov for bringing my attention to the problem in [6], and Christian Hagendorf for comments on a preliminary version of the manuscript.

References

[1] S. Akbulut, R. Kirby, *A potential smooth counterexample in dimension* 4 *to the Poincare conjecture, the Schoenflies conjecture, and the Andrews-Curtis conjecture*, Topology **24** (4) (1985), 375–390.

[2] S. Andreadakis, *On the automorphisms of free groups and free nilpotent groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **15** (3) (1965), 239–268.

[3] J.J. Andrews, M.L. Curtis, *Free groups and handlebodies*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 192–195.

[4] S. Bachmuth, *Induced automorphisms of free groups and free metabelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 1–17.

[5] A.V. Borovik, E.I. Khukhro, A.G. Myasnikov, *The Andrews-Curtis Conjecture and black box groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **13** (4) (2003), 415–436.

[6] A.V. Borovik, A. Lubotzky, A.G. Myasnikov, *The Finitary Andrews-Curtis Conjecture*, Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects, pp. 15–30, Progr. Math., **248**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.

[7] N. Bourbaki, *Algébre* 1–3, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[8] P. Diaconis, R. Graham, *The graph of generating sets of an abelian group*, Colloq. Math. **80** (1999), 31–38.

[9] M.J. Dunwoody, *On T - systems of groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **3** (1963), 172–179.

[10] M.J. Dunwoody, *Nielsen transformations*, Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra, pp. 45–46, Pergamon, Oxford, 1970.

[11] M.J. Evans *Presentations of group involving more generators than are necessary*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **67** (1) (1993), 106–126.

[12] M.J. Evans, *Nielsen equivalence classes and stability graphs of finitely generated groups*, Ischia Group Theory 2006, pp. 103–119, World Scientific.

[13] S. Garion, *Connectivity of the Product Replacement Algorithm Graph of $PSL(2,q)$*, J. Group Theory **11** (6) (2008), 765–777.

[14] R.I. Grigorchuk, *On Burnside's problem on periodic groups*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **14** (1) (1980), 53–54.

[15] R.I. Grigorchuk, *Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **48** (5) (1984), 939–985.

[16] R.I. Grigorchuk, *Solved and unsolved problem around one group*, Infinite Groups: Geometric, Combinatorial and Dynamical Aspects, pp. 117–218, Progr. Math., 248, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.

[17] N. Gupta, S. Sidki, *Some infinite p-groups*, Algebra i Logika **22** (1983), 584–589.

[18] M. Hall, *A topology for free groups and related topics*, Annals Math. **52** (1950), 127–139.

[19] P. de la Harpe, *Topics in geometric group theory*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2000.

[20] P.J. Kahn, *Automorphisms of the discrete Heisenberg group*, http://www.math.cornell.edu/People/Faculty/Heisen.pdf, 2005.

[21] M.A. Kervaire, *Les noeuds de dimensions supérieures*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **93** (1965), 225–271.

[22] L. Louder, *Nielsen equivalence of generating sets for closed surface groups* (submitted) http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.0454, 2010.

[23] A. Lubotzky, *Dynamics of* Aut(Fn) *actions on group presentations and representations*, Geometry, rigidity, and group actions, pp. 609–643, Chicago Lectures in Math., Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2011.

[24] R.C. Lyndon, P.E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1977), Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 89.

[25] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Interscience Publishers, New York - London - Sydney, 1966.

[26] A.G. Myasnikov, *Extended Nielsen transformations and the trivial group*, Mat. Zametki **35** (4) (1984), 491–495.

[27] B.H. Neumann, *Some remarks on infinite groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **12** (1937), 120–127.

[28] B.H. Neumann, H. Neumann, *Zwei Klassen charakteristischer Untergruppen und ihre Faktorgruppen*, Math. Nachr. **4** (1951), 106–125.

[29] D. Oancea, *A note on Nielsen equivalence in finitely generated abelian groups*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **84** (1) (2011), 127–136.

[30] I. Pak, *What do we know about the product replacement algorithm?*, Groups and computation, III, pp. 301–347, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 8, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2001.

[31] E.L. Pervova, *Everywhere Dense Subgroups of One Group of Tree Automorphisms*, (Russian) Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **231** (2000), Din. Sist., Avtom. i Beskon. Gruppy, 356–367; translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 2000, **4** (231), 339–350.

[32] E.L. Pervova, *Maximal subgroups of some non locally finite p-groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **15**(5–6) (2005), 1129–1150.

[33] D.J.S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 80, Springer - Verlag, New York - Berlin, 1982.

[34] H. Zieschang, *Über die Nielsensche Kürzungsmethode in freien Produkten mit Amalgam*, Invent. Math. **10** (1970), 4–37.

Section de Mathématiques, Université de Genève, 2-4 rue du Lièvre, 1211 Genève, Switzerland. *E-mail address*: Aglaia.Myropolska@unige.ch